



Connected Television in the UK and Ireland

October 2013

Deadline Media TV has just published its latest report, covering connected television in the UK and Ireland.

The 250 page report provides comprehensive research, forecasts and strategy on one most challenging developments ever faced by television broadcasting.

Television is the last of the great communications and media platforms to embrace internet. The exercise will take some two decades to complete. In the meanwhile we conclude that for the next half decade connected television is primarily a complimentary, incremental, platform for existing broadcasting and content owners.

We believe that within the UK and Ireland television-like OTT TV will generate revenues of some £532 million in 2013, representing about 4% of all television broadcasting revenues. This will rise to some £1.6 billion in 2017 – some 15% of total broadcasting revenues. We also expect that at end of the next two decade most television content will be delivered to the consumer over Internet through a combination of live streaming media and VoD.

More immediately, connected television remains a nascent business still, in such areas as social TV and targeted advertising at the experimentation and testing stage.

Connected television is about three main issues:

- Accessibility of content
- Consumer electronics devices
- Distribution platforms

Connected Television in the UK and Ireland comes to robust conclusions on many of the current developments, observing that the broadcasting sector in the UK and Ireland is fundamentally different from that in the USA. It details how the three main issues interrelate to each other.

We believe that pure play OTT TV is unlikely to result in significant cord cutting from mainstream pay-TV platforms. Nor does it offer a low pay-TV option targeted at the 46% of households who do not subscribe to pay-TV. In essence it is a form of take it or leave it top up TV. We suspect that its primary market is as an alternative to physical disc rental or purchase, a market currently worth a healthy £1.5 billion a year.

The evidence points strongly to connected television emerging primarily as a television anytime platform rather than a television anywhere service. The structure of the television anytime sector is complex and changing and can be summarised as follows:

Catchup TV: driven by the BBC this has primarily been a powerful Freeview competitive response of the FTA sector to BSkyB's premium pay-TV operation. Whilst this is not a monetisation exercise for the BBC, it provides a platform for PPV content.

For other FTA players catchup TV is primarily a method of defending or increasing advertising revenues.

However, the extension of catchup TV, in the form of YouView, has, in our estimation, been a colossal failure. YouView has simply not emerged as "Freeview 2". It is non-standard, grossly overpriced, too late and the consumer does not want it.

Pure Play OTT TV falls into two categories, subscription VoD and PPV (transactional) VoD. Whilst PPV serves a clear market gap, sVoD is hampered by lack of access to content and may not be sustainable in the long term. The incumbent FTA and pay broadcasters are developing their own alternatives. Content remains king and incumbents are integrating PPV and sVoD with their main linear scheduled offerings.

In practice VoD and PPV OTT looks to offer occasional use television. The pure play providers are unable to provide original television programming content in any significant volume.

Live streaming of TV channels also opens up the television everywhere platform but also offers opportunities for specialised or niche channels. However, for the time being it is not an economic alternative for big hitting channels. Content distribution networks are just too expensive.

That may change in a few years time if major content providers, such as Hollywood studios, decide they want a direct relationship with the viewer.

Gaining big economies of scale for apps is a necessary but not sufficient condition for success in the connected television environment. This issue goes to the very heart of what US technology companies such as Google, Apple and Microsoft are trying to do with connected television and what European broadcasters are trying to do to stop them. The apps issue is closely related to attractive user interfaces including interoperability and commonality between consumer electronics devices.

The UK and Ireland's apps sector is a mess. YouView simply lacks economies of scale. MHEG-IC has, deliberately, not been promoted as the UK's hybrid-broadcast-broadband platform despite the apparent success of MHP in Italy and HbbTV elsewhere in Europe. Ireland has opted for HbbTV. BSkyB retains its own OpenTV platform and the two cable operations (UK and Ireland) have no common technology platforms. The consumer remains confused as to the difference between a smart TV and a connected television.

It is time for the UK to get its act together and opt for and promote MHEG-IC. We have concluded that MHEG-IC needs to be accepted by broadcasters as "Freeview 2". Throwing more money at the unloved YouView is too late.

Samsung appears to have won the apps battle amongst proprietary smart TV manufacturers selling into the UK. It has the most and the best and appears to now have a market share of smart TV sales in excess of 51%. Nevertheless all Freeview HD monikered televisions manufactured since April 2011 are MHEG-IC enabled.

Broadband households already own a plethora of devices to connect their televisions to Internet. We calculated that the average UK household has 3.3 different methods of connecting and considerably more devices to do so. Most of these devices have not been acquired specifically because of their broadband connectivity. They are multipurpose devices for which connecting the television is secondary.

The cost to the broadband household of connecting a television is irrelevant. The marginal cost of incorporating connectivity within a television is about \$35 ex-factory. Moore's law will continue to operate for a few years at least and consumer electronics costs continue to plummet (or drastically improve in performance as processing power costs drop).

It looks likely that the prime device for connected television will be built into nearly all future televisions. In the meanwhile there are a plethora of devices capable of connecting the television. The STB will remain a major device for doing so for the foreseeable future, despite the conventional STB looking increasingly obsolescent. Some 7.5 million households in the UK and Ireland have connectable STBs and they allow the pay-TV operators to continue as gate keepers.

The future of external streaming media boxes and dongles is more uncertain. YouView has failed to convince the public that a combined PVR/streaming media box is a worthwhile purchase. We estimate that there are about 900,000 external streaming media boxes (excluding YouView) in use in the UK and Ireland. The market is dominated by Apple TV and Roku but scores of different devices have been available. Prices of such devices are now falling rapidly and there is a case that they will become a major element in the connected television environment.

It also looks increasingly likely such devices will be tied to specific video service offerings rather than act as general devices. That allows the service provider to continue as a gatekeeper, especially if box sales are subsidised. The consumer may purchase several different brands over time, switching service as need be. The alternative view is that such devices represent industry failure to grasp the necessity of common standards.

Most Blu-ray players have a broadband connectivity capability but owners are making little use of them to get connected television. In contrast games consoles are some five times more likely to be used to connect. However, it looks as if the games console market has peaked and ownership of them is disproportionately in poorer households without fixed line broadband access. Gaming may increasingly switch to other devices including smartphones and tablets, today's new multipurpose devices.

Tablets present a big challenge to broadcasters; they are devices that cross the boundary between truly mobile technology and in-home use. They function both as second (companion) screens and secondary television viewing screens, thus trying to combine lean forward with lean back viewing. Unlike conventional remote controls, they are not

rugged, are heavy on battery power and, still, relatively expensive to buy or repair or replace when broken.

There looks to be room for more innovation with companion screen devices.

Social TV is in the wild west country of connected television where key online players from outside the British Isles dominate social networking. Zeebox, in contrast, represents the broadcaster-centric end of social TV.

Social TV appears to be largely about live television and not about VoD content. It doesn't even necessarily involve OTT TV. Recent evidence from the USA also suggests that the correlation between online social activity surrounding a TV programme is not strongly correlated to actual audience viewing. However, converging the two advertising worlds of point-to-point and point-to-multipoint communications is top priority for advertising funded services such as ITV and RTE.

Apps and standards fragmentation are even more problematically for social TV as they are for connected television, not helped by key players coming from very different directions.

We see few prospects for linear scheduled television in the true mobile environment despite 4G e-MBMS, DVB-NGH and DVB-T2 Lite allowing for it. It has been tried and tested and the consumer does not want it. Television to the tablet is emerging as a WiFi tied platform in the home – portable television if you like.

Connected television is not cheap. Some 28% of households in the UK and 42% in Ireland still do not subscribe to fixed line broadband. Our forecasts suggest that even in 2017 only 65% of individuals will own a smartphone and 52% a tablet. A lot of consumers are unwilling or unable to pay for Internet connectivity.

Whilst the highly competitive nature of communications in the UK make it an ideal place for development of connected television services, the competitive landscape is changing. The opportunity for broadband competition arising from LLU is disappearing as BT rolls out FTTC. Virtual LLU looks to be very difficult to differentiate from BT's own Infinity product. UPC looks highly unlikely to invest in significant new build for its cable operations.

UPC can incrementally upgrade its networks through such techniques as splitting nodes and fibre to the amplifier. It can thus readily match capex with demand. BT's network requires basically an all or nothing upgrade beyond FTTC. Unfortunately FTTH looks to be about 4-5 times more expensive than upgrading to FTTC.

As it stands public subsidies are needed to extend FTTC to about a third of UK premises. We do not believe that FTTH over BT's network will be a viable commercial mass-market proposition for years, if ever.

The regulatory environment is running behind technological change.

We have concluded that a duopoly could emerge with UPC being the dominant residential fixed line broadband provider in cities, larger towns and major suburban

areas, serving half of all households in both the UK and Ireland. The facilities based model of competition would thus breakdown. That would leave BT and Eircom (directly or indirectly) serving, perhaps, 20% of households in smaller towns/suburban areas with a less than stellar FTTC offering. The rest of households may end up dependent on even lower performance publicly subsidised lines.

BT's competitive response is to offer IPTV and 4G mobile. This gives it economies of scope (its other service that does so is switched circuit telephony but that is dying). Eircom, likewise, is reported to be planning an IPTV service. BT TV is the only UK OTT TV provider currently investing significantly in original content for its service. All the rest overwhelmingly offer either "repeats" or re-transmissions.

Whilst BT is some 50% larger than the entire UK television sector, it is currently a shrinking business and is playing with very high stakes. It needs to. Eircom, after privatisation, failed to do so and went bust.

Both BSkyB broadband and TalkTalk may also end up being tightly squeezed by the changing environment. Providing broadband is not a particularly profitable business in the UK and Ireland.

We predict that video over Internet will result in some very interesting mergers and acquisitions in the British and Irish communications sectors during the next few years.

Connected Television in the UK and Ireland is available from Deadline Media TV and is available in PDF form. The comprehensive 250 page report includes 80 tables and 20 charts and international comparisons. Statistics are given on a pan-British Isles basis as well as broken down into UK and Irish statistics. The report takes a comprehensive look at all the key issues involved in connected television including content, technology and infrastructure.

The report is priced at £987 (or €1,160 or US\$1,574). Site licences are available at £1,974 (€2,320 or US\$3,185).

Table of contents

Section 1: Full summary and conclusions	Page 9
Section 1.1: Introduction	Page 16
Section 1.2: Economic prospects	Page 17
Section 1.3: Comparing the UK and Ireland	Page 21
Section 1.3: Differences to the USA	Page 23
Section 1.4: Global perspectives	Page 24
Section 1.5: Technological change and market maturity	Page 31
Section 2: Connected TV in the UK	Page 33
Section 2.1: Connected TV devices	Page 34
Section 2.1.1: Smart TVs	Page 40
Section 2.1.1.1: How many smart TVs are there?	Page 41
Section 2.1.1.2: Smart TVs in Ireland	Page 42

Section 2.1.1.3: Lack of a conscious desire for Smart TVs	Page 43
Section 2.1.1.4: Not turned on by smart TV	Page 43
Section 2.1.1.5: Brand names matter	Page 44
Section 2.1.1.6: Catchup is the most favoured app	Page 44
Section 2.1.1.7: Contradictory evidence	Page 45
Section 2.1.1.8: Disappointing sales	Page 45
Section 2.1.1.9: The real market for smart TVs	Page 46
Section 2.1.1.10: Peculiarly UK issues	Page 48
Section 2.1.1.11: Making sense of sales estimates	Page 48
Section 2.1.1.12: The smart TV contradiction	Page 49
Section 2.1.1.13: Apple's TV – vapourware?	Page 50
Section 2.1.1.14: Changes in smart TV technology	Page 50
Section 2.1.1.15: The Smart TV Alliance	Page 50
Section 2.1.1.16: Industry structure	Page 52
Section 2.1.1.17: Samsung has over half the market	Page 54
Section 2.1.1.18: Samsung has the apps	Page 54
Section 2.1.2: Streaming boxes and dongles	Page 56
Section 2.1.2.1: Limited market research	Page 57
Section 2.1.2.2: Size of streaming media box market	Page 57
Section 2.1.2.3: Losing market share?	Page 60
Section 2.1.2.4: A plethora of devices on the market	Page 61
Section 2.1.2.5: The gatekeeper roll for boxes	Page 63
Section 2.1.2.6: The sidecar model	Page 63
Section 2.1.2.7: Apple TV boxes in the UK	Page 63
Section 2.1.2.8: Roku boxes	Page 64
Section 2.1.2.9: Google TV	Page 64
Section 2.1.2.10: Google Play	Page 65
Section 2.1.2.11: Western Digital	Page 65
Section 2.1.2.12: Other brands	Page 65
Section 2.1.2.13: The grey market	Page 65
Section 2.1.2.14: Jailbreaking	Page 66
Section 2.1.2.15: Cord cutting and aerials	Page 66
Section 2.1.2.16: Lessons from Aereo	Page 67
Section 2.1.2.17: Cord cutting and boxes	Page 68
Section 2.1.2.18: Streaming media boxes more expensive than in the USA	Page 70
Section 2.1.3: Connected STBs	Page 71
Section 2.1.4: The problem for YouView	Page 75
Section 2.1.4.1: Market structure facing YouView	Page 76
Section 2.1.4.2: YouView installed base	Page 77
Section 2.1.4.3: YouView absent in retail outlets	Page 78
Section 2.1.4.4: YouView strategy	Page 79
Section 2.1.4.5: YouView is not Freeview 2	Page 80
Section 2.1.4.6: What next for YouView	Page 80
Section 2.1.4.7: BBC Store and PPV VoD	Page 81
Section 2.1.4.8: The BBC Trust and the future of YouView	Page 82
Section 2.1.4.9: Freesat and HbbTV	Page 84
Section 2.1.4.10: The real Freeview 2, Freeview MHEG-IC	Page 85
Section 2.1.4.11: Freeview OTT content	Page 90
Section 2.1.4.12: HbbTV in the UK and Ireland	Page 91
Section 2.1.5: Tablets and smart phones	Page 91

Section 2.1.5.1: Not much television watched on smart phones	Page 93
Section 2.1.6: The second and the secondary screen	Page 93
Section 2.1.7: Games consoles and Blu-ray players	Page 95
Section 2.1.7.1: Games consoles	Page 98
Section 2.1.7.2: Blue-ray players	Page 101
Section 2.1.8: PVRs	Page 103
Section 2.2: Connected TV services	Page 104
Section 2.2.1: Catchup TV – getting it right	Page 105
Section 2.2.2: How popular is catchup TV in the UK	Page 110
Section 2.2.2.1: Revenues from catchup TV	Page 110
Section 2.2.2.2: Catchup TV and smart TVs	Page 111
Section 2.2.3: Size of the online television market	Page 111
Section 2.2.4: Competition law and regulation	Page 117
Section 2.2.5: Piracy of content	Page 121
Section 2.2.5.1: Cross border piracy	Page 121
Section 2.2.5.2: Policing at the ISP level	Page 122
Section 2.2.5.3: Does OTT stop piracy?	Page 122
Section 2.2.6: Models for contesting the market	Page 123
Section 2.2.6.1: Netflix	Page 124
Section 2.2.6.2: Apple and iTunes	Page 127
Section 2.2.6.3: Google and YouTube	Page 128
Section 2.2.6.4: Amazon and Lovefilm	Page 131
Section 2.2.6.5: Microsoft	Page 131
Section 2.2.6.6: Wuaki TV	Page 132
Section 2.2.6.7: Now TV and Sky on Demand	Page 132
Section 2.2.6.8: White label OTT	Page 133
Section 2.2.6.9: Retailers and OTT content (Blinkbox, Sainsburys)	Page 134
Section 2.2.6.10: News providers	Page 136
Section 2.3: The broadcasting environment	Page 138
Section 2.3.1: How households receive television in the UK and Ireland	Page 140
Section 2.3.2: The corporate environment facing connected TV	Page 144
Section 2.3.3: DTH	Page 146
Section 2.3.4: Cable	Page 146
Section 2.3.5: IPTV	Page 147
Section 2.3.6: Local television	Page 150
Section 2.4: Infrastructure issues	Page 151
Section 2.4.1: Fixed line broadband environment	Page 151
Section 2.4.2: Who provides broadband	Page 152
Section 2.4.2.1: Some broadcasters are also telcos	Page 152
Section 2.4.2.2: LLU, wholesale and virtual LLU	Page 155
Section 2.4.3: Broadband performance	Page 156
Section 2.4.4: The geographic broadband divide	Page 158
Section 2.5: Mobile communications	Page 159
Section 2.5.1: Broadcast television to the handheld	Page 162
Section 2.6: The spectrum problem for broadcasters	Page 163
Section 2.6.1: Technology change and spectrum economics	Page 165
Section 2.6.2: TV white spaces	Page 167
Section 2.7: Key player analysis	Page 168
Section 2.7.1: The big broadcasters	Page 168
Section 2.7.1.1: BBC	Page 169

Section 2.7.1.2: ITV	Page 170
Section 2.7.1.3: BSkyB	Page 172
Section 2.7.1.4: BSkyB, Zeebox and social TV	Page 174
Section 2.7.2: Other key OTT players	Page 175
Section 2.7.2.1: BT	Page 175
Section 2.7.2.2: BT strategy and YouView	Page 176
Section 2.7.2.3: Market position of BT TV	Page 177
Section 2.7.2.4: UPC (Virgin Media)	Page 178
Section 2.7.2.5: TalkTalk	Page 182
Section 3: Connected TV in Ireland	Page 184
Section 3.1: Overview of the Irish market	Page 185
Section 3.1.1: The geography of Irish communications	Page 186
Section 3.1.2: Television in Ireland	Page 187
Section 3.1.3: Structure of Irish broadcasting	Page 191
Section 3.1.3.4: International viewing and expenditure comparisons	Page 194
Section 3.1.4: How big is the Irish television industry?	Page 195
Section 3.1.5: Analysis of Irish broadcasting	Page 196
Section 3.1.5.1: RTE and public service broadcasting	Page 196
Section 3.1.5.2: The licence fee problem	Page 197
Section 3.1.5.3: Public service broadcasting, politics and culture	Page 198
Section 3.1.5.4: The Gaelic language and television	Page 199
Section 3.1.5.5: Commercial television	Page 199
Section 3.1.5.6: Northern Ireland connections	Page 200
Section 3.1.5.7: Local television in Ireland	Page 200
Section 3.2: Pay TV in Ireland	Page 201
Section 3.2.1: Pay TV revenues in Ireland	Page 202
Section 3.2.2: BSkyB in Ireland	Page 202
Section 3.2.3: UPC (Liberty Global) in Ireland	Page 203
Section 3.3: The Saorview problem	Page 207
Section 3.3.1: Saorsat - at the margins of broadcasting	Page 209
Section 3.3.2: HbbTV in Ireland	Page 210
Section 3.3.3: IPTV, coming soon?	Page 211
Section 3.3.4: Competition from Freesat	Page 212
Section 3.4: Connecting the television screen	Page 212
Section 3.4.1: Smart TVs	Page 213
Section 3.4.2: Other connecting devices	Page 214
Section 3.4.3: Tablets and mobile phones	Page 214
Section 3.4.3.1: Audience measurement issues	Page 216
Section 3.5: OTT services in Ireland	Page 217
Section 3.5.1: RTE and Irish catchup TV	Page 217
Section 3.5.2: Netflix and iTunes in Ireland	Page 219
Section 3.5.3: Other OTT services	Page 219
Section 3.5.4: Serving the diaspora	Page 221
Section 3.5.5: Size of the Irish OTT market	Page 222
Section 3.5.6: Cord cutting in Ireland	Page 222
Section 3.6: Communications infrastructure in Ireland	Page 223

Section 3.6.1: Fixed line broadband	Page 223
Section 3.6.2: Eircom – years of under-investment coming to an end	Page 230
Section 3.6.3: BT Ireland	Page 232
Section 3.6.4 Mobile communications in Ireland	Page 232
Section 4: Appendices	Page 235
Definitions of OTT and connected television	Page 235
What is smart TV?	Page 236
MHEG-IC primer	Page 237
HbbTV primer	Page 238
HTML-5 primer	Page 238
MPEG-DASH primer	Page 239
Digital rights management (DRM)	Page 240
Content distribution networks (CDNs)	Page 241
Film release windows	Page 243
The problem of FTTH	Page 244
Smart TV sales in Germany	Page 246
Smart TV sales in France	Page 247
Smart TV sales in Italy	Page 247
About Deadline Media TV	Page 250

Tables

Table 1: UK and Irish Communications Compared	Page 22
Table 2: The UK and Irish TV Household	Page 23
Table 3 UK electronic communications industry revenues, 2012	Page 31
Table 4: Average monthly household spend on communications services	Page 32
Table 5: Comparative takeup of connectable devices, millions	Page 35
Table 6: Actual connected devices, UK	Page 37
Table 7: number of connected device types owned in EU-5	Page 38
Table 8: Percentage of TV sets sold, by screen size: 2004 to Q1 2013	Page 39
Table 9: Reasons people buy a smart TV	Page 43
Table 10: Smart TV owners do connect to broadband	Page 44
Table 11: Main Video Apps, Smart TVs, UK	Page 55
Table 12: Estimated smart TV box sales to summer 2013, USA	Page 58
Table 13: Estimated streaming media box/dongle sales, UK	Page 59
Table 14: Streaming boxes and dongles available in the UK	Page 62
Table 15: Satellite household in the UK and Ireland	Page 72
Table 16: Connectable STBs in the UK and Ireland	Page 73
Table 17: Connected STBs in the UK and Ireland	Page 74
Table 18: The YouView market gap	Page 76
Table 19: YouView installations	Page 77
Table 20: Percentage ownership of FTA platforms in UK and Ireland	Page 79
Table 21: Estimated Freeview HD HBB connectable televisions and boxes	Page 87
Table 22: Forecast of sales of Freeview HD HBB and smart TVs, millions	Page 88
Table 23: Freeview OTT content	Page 90
Table 24: How households connect their TVs	Page 96

Table 25: Forecast, games consoles and Blu-ray player households, UK	Page 97
Table 26: Connected games consoles and Blu-ray players, end 2013 forecast	Page 98
Table 27: Blu-ray players and PlayStation 3 consoles, UK	Page 102
Table 28: Blu-ray players and PlayStation 3 consoles, Ireland	Page 103
Table 29: PVR households in the UK, millions	Page 104
Table 30: Connected Devices with BBC iPlayer	Page 106
Table 31: Activities undertaken on smart TVs among adults and children %	Page 111
Table 32: Estimated 2013 revenues from VoD television services	Page 112
Table 33: Online TV revenues, £ millions	Page 113
Table 34: Total unique visitors to selected online film and TV streaming sites	Page 114
Table 35: Total unique visitors to selected video sharing sites (000)	Page 115
Table 36: VoD services offering content in the UK and Ireland	Page 116
Table 37: UK filmed entertainment market 2010-12	Page 117
Table 38: Netflix, Lovefilm and Now TV compared	Page 125
Table 39: Power shifts in the British and Irish broadcasting industry	Page 138
Table 40: Long term connected television environment 2013-2033	Page 139
Table 41: Key Metrics, UK Television reception	Page 141
Table 42: Key Metrics, UK and Irish television combined	Page 143
Table 43: Revenues, main British and Irish broadcasters, £ millions	Page 144
Table 44: Takeup of IPTV in the UK	Page 148
Table 45: Household penetration of fixed and mobile broadband (per cent)	Page 152
Table 46: UK Carrier Turnover	Page 153
Table 47: Fixed broadband market share: 2007 to 2012 (per cent)	Page 153
Table 48: Fixed Residential Broadband Customers by Carrier	Page 154
Table 49: UK Fixed broadband connections: 2007 to 2013 (millions)	Page 155
Table 50: Average actual UK fixed-line residential broadband speeds	Page 156
Table 51: Average broadband connection speed by country, Q1 2013	Page 157
Table 52: Digital divide between urban and rural residential broadband, Mbit/s	Page 158
Table 53: Forecasted smartphone and tablet users, UK	Page 162
Table 54: Data requirements for main DVB broadcast multiplexes	Page 165
Table 55: Licensed spectrum available for mobile broadband, MHz	Page 166
Table 56: Retail revenues, telecommunications £ billions	Page 176
Table 57: Combined UK & Ireland Subscribers UPC	Page 179
Table 58: Television viewing in Ireland	Page 189
Table 59: Irish television channels	Page 191
Table 60: Key Metrics, Irish television reception	Page 193
Table 61: Internal comparisons, TV revenues per head, £	page 192
Table 62: Irish television broadcasting revenues	Page 195
Table 63: RTE's declining revenues, € millions	Page 196
Table 64: SWOT analysis UPC Ireland	Page 206
Table 65: Liberty Global in Europe	Page 207
Table 66: Saorview and Freeview compared	Page 208
Table 67: Mobile and portable device ownership in Ireland	Page 215
Table 68: Irish catchup TV services	Page 217
Table 69: RTE Player Strategic Plan	Page 218
Table 70: Residential broadband cost comparisons, Ireland	Page 225
Table 71: Key metrics, Irish broadband	Page 226
Table 72: Subscribers by broadband provider, Ireland, 000's	Page 227
Table 73 Forecast of smartphone and tablet users, Ireland	Page 233
Table 74: Adaptive bitrate platforms	Page 239

Table 75: Main DRM platforms	Page 240
Table 76: CDN Costs per MBit/s per month	Page 242
Table 77: Smart TV Sales in Germany	Page 246
Table 78: Smart TV Sales in France	Page 247
Table 79: Smart TV sales forecasts, Italy, millions	Page
248	
Table 80: Estimated base of connectable devices, end 2012, Italy	Page 248

Charts

Chart 1: How some UK televisions are connected to Internet	Page 37
Chart 2: Shifting VoD viewing to the tablet and smartphone, % share	Page 38
Chart 3: Preferred television connecting devices	Page 40
Chart 4: Smart TV expectations	Page 48
Chart 5: Falling television sales in Europe	Page 49
Chart 6: Market Share, Streaming Media Boxes and Dongles	Page 60
Chart 7: Tablet v smartphone viewing, %	Page 92
Chart 8: Accessing television over the Internet, %	Page 105
Chart 9: iPlayer requests by device	Page 108
Chart 10: Trends in iPlayer viewing by device	Page 109
Chart 11: How people access video entertainment, £ millions	Page 113
Chart 12: How UK households receive television, %	Page 142
Chart 13: Apple dominates the tablet market	Page 161
Chart 14: Market maturity of pay-TV penetration in Ireland	Page 201
Chart 15, DVD, broadband, games console and PVR takeup in Ireland.	Page 212
Chart 16: More statistics on mobile and other connectable devices	Page 216
Chart 17: Market share, Irish broadband providers	Page 228
Chart 18: LLU lines in Ireland	
Page 229	
Chart 19: Broadband not offsetting telephony	
Page 230	
Chart 20: Mobile market share by operator, Ireland	Page 234